

# Part I

## Appendix: Party Elites and Beauty Biases

### A Robustness Checks

In Table A.1 we present the results of a set of ordered probit results similar to those found in the manuscript that include party fixed effects. The inclusion of party-fixed effects does not substantively change the results or conclusions about the impact of candidate attractiveness on list position.

In Tables A.2 and A.3, we present models in which the dependent variable is the first position on the party list. Research shows that candidates placed in the first position on party lists have an electoral advantage. In the models presented in Table A.2, the education variable drops out because it is perfectly collinear with the dependent variable.

In Table A.4, we present the results of standardized regression models in which the dependent variable is candidate vote share and theoretically important candidate attributes like list position and attractiveness are included.

Table A.1: Ordered Probit List Position Results with Party Fixed-Effects

	(1)	(2)
Candidate Attractiveness	-0.819*** (0.144)	-1.110*** (0.241)
Woman Candidate	0.384*** (0.115)	-0.355 (0.499)
Age 30-40	-0.635*** (0.175)	-0.619*** (0.176)
Age 40-50	-0.899*** (0.177)	-0.918*** (0.178)
Age 50-60	-0.673*** (0.193)	-0.687*** (0.194)
Age 60 and above	-1.071*** (0.219)	-1.084*** (0.219)
Bachelor's Degree	0.380 (0.402)	0.351 (0.403)
Incumbent	-2.388*** (0.617)	-2.377*** (0.617)
Party Vote Share	-0.179 (0.202)	-0.190 (0.203)
Woman Candidate $\times$ Candidate Attractiveness		0.361 (0.267)
District = 2	-0.222 (0.143)	-0.187 (0.145)
District = 3	-0.463*** (0.142)	-0.469*** (0.142)
District = 4	-0.350** (0.141)	-0.325** (0.142)
Cut 1	-4.081*** (0.952)	-4.645*** (1.024)
Cut 2	-3.443*** (0.949)	-4.005*** (1.020)
Cut 3	-2.911*** (0.947)	-3.471*** (1.018)
Cut 4	-2.329** (0.945)	-2.887*** (1.016)
Cut 5	-1.261 (0.945)	-1.816* (1.015)
Party Fixed-Effects	Yes	Yes
Pseudo R2	0.056	0.057
Log likelihood	-741.25	-740.09
Observations	456	456

Standard errors in parentheses

2

\*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$

Table A.2: Logit Results - First Position

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Candidate Attractiveness	1.768*** (0.379)	1.497*** (0.509)	1.941*** (0.400)	1.692*** (0.535)
Woman Candidate	-1.817*** (0.355)	-2.828** (1.360)	-1.912*** (0.366)	-2.825** (1.388)
30-40	1.210** (0.542)	1.283** (0.555)	1.223** (0.560)	1.284** (0.571)
40-50	1.794*** (0.558)	1.817*** (0.567)	1.925*** (0.584)	1.949*** (0.592)
50-60	1.541** (0.602)	1.557** (0.610)	1.623** (0.637)	1.645** (0.645)
60 and above	1.929*** (0.637)	1.953*** (0.646)	2.180*** (0.671)	2.205*** (0.679)
Incumbent	3.738*** (1.149)	3.781*** (1.156)	4.257*** (1.215)	4.308*** (1.225)
Party Vote Share	-0.022 (0.027)	-0.022 (0.027)	0.889 (0.860)	0.879 (0.864)
Woman Candidate $\times$ Candidate Attractiveness		0.496 (0.639)		0.451 (0.656)
District = 2	-0.153 (0.383)	-0.093 (0.390)	-0.117 (0.388)	-0.064 (0.395)
District = 3	0.266 (0.373)	0.270 (0.374)	0.390 (0.383)	0.390 (0.384)
District = 4	0.119 (0.373)	0.161 (0.377)	0.173 (0.380)	0.210 (0.384)
Constant	-5.617*** (1.010)	-5.206*** (1.132)	-10.176** (4.077)	-9.734** (4.145)
Party Fixed-Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes
Pseudo R2	0.144	0.146	0.157	0.158
Log likelihood	-188.89	-185.59	-186.16	-185.93
Observations	449	449	449	449

Standard errors in parentheses

\*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$

Table A.3: Linear Probability Model Results - First Position

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Candidate Attractiveness	0.217*** (0.047)	0.253*** (0.077)	0.234*** (0.050)	0.273*** (0.081)
Woman Candidate	-0.219*** (0.040)	-0.124 (0.166)	-0.226*** (0.041)	-0.121 (0.174)
Age 30 or Younger	0.128** (0.060)	0.125** (0.060)	0.124** (0.062)	0.121* (0.063)
Age 40-50	0.185*** (0.059)	0.187*** (0.060)	0.193*** (0.063)	0.195*** (0.063)
Age 50-60	0.154** (0.065)	0.156** (0.065)	0.158** (0.069)	0.159** (0.069)
Age 60 and above	0.208*** (0.073)	0.209*** (0.073)	0.233*** (0.078)	0.234*** (0.078)
Bachelor's Degree	-0.189 (0.142)	-0.185 (0.143)	-0.200 (0.147)	-0.195 (0.148)
Incumbent	0.652*** (0.134)	0.650*** (0.134)	0.709*** (0.141)	0.708*** (0.141)
Party Vote Share	-0.002 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.003)	0.082 (0.070)	0.084 (0.070)
Woman Candidate $\times$ Candidate Attractiveness		-0.051 (0.087)		-0.057 (0.091)
District = 2	-0.020 (0.050)	-0.025 (0.051)	-0.020 (0.051)	-0.025 (0.052)
District = 3	0.030 (0.048)	0.031 (0.049)	0.042 (0.051)	0.043 (0.051)
District = 4	0.009 (0.049)	0.005 (0.049)	0.011 (0.050)	0.008 (0.051)
Constant	-0.265** (0.112)	-0.325** (0.151)	-0.676** (0.325)	-0.753** (0.349)
Party Fixed-Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes
R-squared	0.142	0.143	0.151	0.152
Observations	449	449	449	449

Standard errors in parentheses

\*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$